

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	-
10/635,005	08/06/2003	Roland Gabriel	265-151	7604	_
10/033,003	08/00/2003	Roland Gabrier	203-131	7007	
23117 75	90 02/23/2006		EXAMINER		_
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			ISSING, GREGORY C		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3662		
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/635,005	GABRIEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gregory C. Issing	3662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 De	ecember 2005					
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
··· _	_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/05,2/06.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/635,005 Page 2

Art Unit: 3662

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 4, the language "said main lobe" lacks a proper antecedent basis.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thourel (2,913,723).

The rejection is set forth in the previous Office Action. Elements 2 and 3 comprise the two antenna element systems that are alternately arranged, and thus interleaved, with a vertical offset.

The applicants fail to argue the rejection and thus the rejection stands.

5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fink (3,267,472).

The rejection is set forth in the previous Office Action. Furthermore, the antenna elements are alternately arranged interleaved with one another.

The applicants fail to argue the rejection and thus the rejection stands.

6. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Itoh (4,667,201).

The rejection is set forth in the previous Office Action. Furthermore, the antenna elements are alternately arranged, interleaved with one another, see Figure 3.

The applicants fail to argue the rejection and thus the rejection stands.

7. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Moh'd Izzat et al (6,922,169).

The rejection is set forth in the previous Office Action. Furthermore, the antenna elements are alternately arranged, interleaved with one another, see Figures 1-8.

The applicants fail to argue the rejection and thus the rejection stands.

8. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Runyon et al (6,684,837).

The rejection is set forth in the previous Office Action. Furthermore, the antenna elements are alternately arranged, interleaved with one another, see Figures 12-13.

The applicants fail to argue the rejection and thus the rejection stands.

- 9. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admission of prior art in view of Moh'd Izzat et al.
- 10. The rejection is set forth in the previous Office Action. Furthermore, the antenna elements are alternately arranged, interleaved with one another.
- 11. The applicants fail to argue the rejection and thus the rejection stands.
- 12. The Substitute Specification is acknowledged and accepted.
- 13. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory C. Issing whose telephone number is (571)-272-6973. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6:00 AM- 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on (571)-272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory C. Ussing (
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3662

gci